	Serology CAR - 2017-0405					
	Hinter ou os 175ted to 1881 Ronation. Hinter ou os 175ted to 1881 Ronation. Kris Cano					
Date:	41117 04 05 175 th Physiss Enit Supervisor: Kris Cano					
Initiated	By: Kris Yanond ndar is Trainer:					
Proficie	ncy Test Error Analytical Error Other					
Dissin Justin Agen	Have the results and work notes of the examiner having the discrepancy been reviewed by the unit supervisor for compliance with the methods listed in the appropriate procedures manual, as well as all quality control procedures necessary to ensure proper					
	Comments: Yes. Please see memo dated 4/17/17 "Re: Serology Case Review for Amy Langan"					
	"Re: Serology Case Review for Anny Langan"					
2.	Was the error administrative or technical and was this error detected in the examiner's notes that would account for the discrepancy? Admin Tech					
	both technical and administrative in nutere.					
3.	Has the examiner been removed from casework? Yes No NA - resign					
4.	Has the examiner been given remedial training or has a remedial training plan been developed? Yes No					
	Comments: NA This examine resigned 3/31/17.					
	The state of the s					
5.	Has a representative sample of the examiner's casework since the last proficiency exam or case where error was discovered been reviewed for similar errors? Yes No					
	Comments: All cases will be reviewed and remarked. A list of the cases is attached. Vickie Beamer will					
	be doing all the reanalysis and issuing a new report.					
	Examiner: Amy Langun Date: N/A resigned 3/31/17					

Memorandum for Record

To: J Kris Cano, Forensic Laboratory Manager

Mindy Raines, QA Manager

From: Vickie Beamer, DNA Technical Leader

Date: April 17, 2017

Re: Serology Case Review for Amy Langan

This memorandum is written to address the re-analysis of Serology cases completed by Amy Langan for potential errors. Kris Cano, Forensic Biology Supervisor/Forensic Laboratory Manager, requested that I re-analyze five (5) cases previously analyzed by Amy Langan. The requested case numbers are as follows:

DR # DR # DR #

DR # DR #

For two (2) of the cases, DR # and DR # the cases, the reports had not yet been released; therefore, Kris Cano had requested that I perform the re-analysis and take ownership of Ms. Langan's notes and release a report authored by myself.

On April 7, 2017, I began re-analysis of DR # The following discrepancies were observed:

- 1. Ms. Langan marked the swab cartons with the wrong DR number and item number for item #987048B (external genital swabs). Both external genital swab cartons were marked #968563b.
- 2. Different results were obtained for item #987048 (underwear). Ms. Langan documented that the underwear were AP negative and sperm negative; and therefore concluded that "semen was not identified". However, during my reanalysis, the underwear gave positive results with AP mapping of the crotch, negative results for sperm, and positive results for p30; and therefore, I concluded that the underwear were "positive for seminal fluid".
- 3. Lastly, Ms. Langan documented in her draft report that cuttings were retained from the external genital swabs (#987048B) for DNA analysis. However, both her case notes and internal chain of custody contradicts this. The internal

chain only lists items #987048A (vaginal swabs) and #987048G (buccal swabs). DNA analysis was later performed only on those two (2) samples, as well. It is likely that Ms. Langan's draft report is incorrect regarding the external genital swab cuttings.

Since the swab cartons for item #987048B were mislabeled by Ms. Langan, the DNA results for both DR # C Trand were examined to determine if a possible sample mix up occurred. In both cases, the DNA profile obtained from the epithelial fraction of swab(s) from the sexual assault kits match the DNA profile obtained from the victim.

The re-analysis of DR # resulted in no discrepancies with Ms. Langan's original testing and conclusions.

After re-analyzing DR # and it was decided by Kris Cano, Crime Lab Manager/Forensic Biology Supervisor to re-analyze all cases that Ms. Amy Langan completed in Serology during her employment. The total number of cases to be re-analyzed are 32, including DR # and DR # All re-analysis will be completed under the category Investigation, Serology in LIMS and any discrepencies will require an amended report. Another memo with the results of the re-analysis of these cases will be generated at the completion of this project.

Vickie Beamer

DNA Technical Leader

ted to criminal .J.

CaseNumber	AgencyCaseNumber 2		SReportReleaseDate	
Oddor ramma	inationcies s	Serology		DNA
	WILL BOWLY	Serology	3/7/2017	DNA /
Disti	cieshorize	Serology	2/23/2017	-neg-cordon worn exam
Age!	inadale	Serology		Halaus after including
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Agency Case Number a	Serology		in took remided Craw har a less
50		Serology		-in technellaw comment
		Serology		in the born our and
		Serology		- in tech review
	_	Serology		DNAV
	_	Serology	4/4/2017	DNA
	_	Serology	4/4/2017	-neg, kit taken 3 days after
	_	Serology		Valent know
	_	Serology	4/3/2017	intripenis never consent neg- erndom work
		Serology	4/4/2017	DNA
		Serology	4/4/2017	neg andom, on period
		Serology	4/4/2017	regral contact only
		Serology		-neg-
	_	Serology		DNA
	_	Serology		ქ .
		Serology		IN TR (4days after no exidence
		Serology		
		Serology		DNA
		Serology	2/1/201	打 か ル ノ
		Serology	2/8/201	7 tampon honzontally wedge
		Serology	2/23/201	7 DNA V
	<u> </u>	Serology		7 DNA V
		Serology	2/7/201	
	_	Serology	2/27/201	-
	_	Serology	2/7/201	FIDNA V
	_	Serology	2/7/201	7 DNA V 7 ejaculated on bed or in 817
	_	Serology	2/27/201	17 cmdom
		Serology		17-Strival days showered

tricted to Criminal J. Criminal J. Criminal J. Criminal C.J. Criminal C.

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

APRIL 5, 2017, or City

to otts dale

To: Mindy Raines, Forensic Scientist Supervisor

From: Kris Cano, Forensic Laboratory Manager

Re: Serology Case Review for Amy Langan

This memorandum is written to document the review of serology cases completed by Amy Langan for potential errors. Ms. Langan was trained to screen for biological fluids to assist the Forensic Biology Unit will processing of sexual assault kits. During her tenure, she completed 32 cases which were reviewed. The case scenario submitted by the Forensic Nurse often gives the examiner some background information that can be used to process the sexual assault evidence kits. The information that is captured details the incident time, time of exam and the synopsis of the incident. Cases that were processed and samples were forwarded to a DNA were not investigated as biological evidence was identified and has been or will be processed by the DNA unit along with victim's reference sample that will ensure proper sample collection. There were 14 cases where samples were forwarded on to DNA for further processing.

The remaining 18 cases that were reported by Ms. Langan with had negative results. These case notes were reviewed and the case scenario was also reviewed to determine if the negative results were supported by the details captured upon exam. There were 5 cases where the negative results should be re-confirmed. The other 13 cases the documentation supports the result, by length of time from assault to exam, condom use, or no documentation to support penetration. The 5 cases that were identified will be re-examined and if they are confirmed negative, no further investigation will be required. If those cases are indeed positive for semen, all negative cases will be re-worked.

Respectfully,

Kris Cano

Forensic Laboratory Manager

				cted to Criminal J. cted to Criminal C.J. challed to Criminal C
CaseNumber	AgencyCaseNumber	ServiceType	ReportReléaseDate	autil dipro
	•	Serology	tion ies seco	DIENS
		Serology \ ()	3/7/2017	DHA
	D	Serology	2/23/2017	-nee condom was n exam
		Serology a U	40. C/()	4 days after incident
		Serology 15	a	-intechreview Endamara)
		Serology		- in techneview comdom
		Serology		- in tech veriew
		Serology		- in tech review
		Serology		DNAV
		Serology	4/4/2017	DNAV
		Serology	4/4/2017	-nea kil taken 3 days after
		Serology		interpretation
		Serology	4/3/2017	-neg- andom worn
		Serology	4/4/2017	- DNA V
		Serology		nes andom, on period
		Serology	4/4/2017	regral emitact oncy
		Serology	4/4/2017	-neg-
		Serology	4/4/2017	DNA
		Serology	4/3/2017	DNAV
		Serology		-in +R (4 days after no evidence
		Serology	J	-
		Serology		DNA
		Serology	2/1/2017	DVA
		Serology	2/8/2017	-tampon horizontally wedge
		Serology	2/23/2017	DNA Y
		Serology	1/17/2017	DNAV
		Serology	2/7/2017	DNA
		Serology	2/27/2017	
		Serology	2/7/2017	DNA V
		Serology	2/7/2017	ejaculated on bedor in sin
		Serology	2/27/2017	condom
		Serology		- Sinvaldays surveyes

61-

Memorandum for Record

Disserice Ago Nized Prose

From: Vickie Beamer, DNA Technical Leader

Date: April 17, 2017

Re: Serology Case Review for Amy Langan

This memorandum is written to address the re-analysis of Serology cases completed by Amy Langan for potential errors. Kris Cano, Forensic Biology Supervisor/Forensic Laboratory Manager, requested that I re-analyze five (5) cases previously analyzed by Amy Langan. The requested case numbers are as follows:

DR # DR # DR # DR # DR #

For two (2) of the cases, DR # and DR # and DR # been released; therefore, Kris Cano had requested that I perform the re-analysis and take ownership of Ms. Langan's notes and release a report authored by myself.

On April 7, 2017, I began re-analysis of DR # discrepancies were observed;

- 1. Ms. Langan marked the swab cartons with the wrong DR number and item number for item #987048B (external genital swabs). Both external genital swab cartons were marked #968563b.
- 2. Different results were obtained for item #987048 (underwear). Ms. Langan documented that the underwear were AP negative and sperm negative; and therefore concluded that "semen was not identified". However, during my reanalysis, the underwear gave positive results with AP mapping of the crotch, negative results for sperm, and positive results for p30; and therefore, I concluded that the underwear were "positive for seminal fluid".
- Lastly, Ms. Langan documented in her draft report that cuttings were retained from the external genital swabs (#987048B) for DNA analysis. However, both her case notes and internal chain of custody contradicts this. The internal

Criminal J.

Criminal J.

Criminal J.

April 17, 2017

chain only lists items #987048A (vaginal swabs) and #987048C (buccal swabs). DNA analysis was later performed only on those two (2) samples, as well. It is likely that Ms. Langan's draff report is incorrect regarding the external genital swab cuttings.

The re-analysis of DR # resulted in no discrepancies with Ms. Langan's original testing and conclusions.

After re-analyzing DR # and it was decided by Kris Cano, Crime Lab Manager/Forensic Biology Supervisor to re-analyze **all** cases that Ms. Amy Langan completed in Serology during her employment. The total number of cases to be re-analyzed are 32, including DR # All re-analysis will be completed under the category Investigation, Serology in LIMS and any discrepencies will require an amended report. Another memo with the results of the re-analysis of these cases will be generated at the completion of this project.

Vickie Beamer

DNA Technical Leader